representative with an opportunity to submit a written exception to any summary of the employee's personal presentation:

- (3) An employee in a duty status shall be granted a reasonable amount of official time to review the material relied upon to support the negative determination and to prepare a response to the determination; and
- (4) The agency shall provide the employee with a prompt written final decision.
- (b) The time limit to request a reconsideration may be extended when the employee shows he or she was not notified of the time limit and was not otherwise aware of it, or that the employee was prevented by circumstances beyond his or her control from requesting reconsideration within the time limit.
- (c) An agency may disallow as an employee's personal representative an individual whose activities as a representative would cause a conflict of interest of position, an employee whose release from his or her official duties and responsibilities would give rise to unreasonable costs to the Government, or an employee whose priority work assignment precludes his or her release from official duties and responsibilities. Section 7114 of title 5, United States Code, and the terms of any applicable collective bargaining agreement govern representation for employees in an exclusive bargaining
- (d) When a negative determination is sustained after reconsideration, an employee shall be informed in writing of the reasons for the decision and of his or her right to appeal the decision to the Merit Systems Protection Board. However, for an employee covered by a collective bargaining agreement a reconsideration decision that sustains a negative determination is only reviewable in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

[46 FR 2319, Jan. 9, 1981, as amended at 50 FR 45389, Oct. 31, 1985]

§ 531.411 Continuing evaluation after withholding a within-grade increase.

When a within-grade increase has been withheld, an agency may, at any

time thereafter, prepare a new rating of record for the employee and grant the within-grade increase when it determines that he or she has demonstrated sustained performance at an acceptable level of competence. However, the agency shall determine whether the employee's performance is at an acceptable level of competence after no more than 52 calendar weeks following the original eligibility date for the within-grade increase and, for as long as the within-grade increase continues to be denied, determinations will be made after no longer than each 52 calendar weeks.

[51 FR 8421, Mar. 11, 1986]

§531.412 Effective date of a withingrade increase.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, a within-grade increase shall be effective on the first day of the first pay period following completion of the required waiting period and in compliance with the conditions of eligibility. Interim withingrade increases shall become effective as provided in §541.414(b).
- (b) When an acceptable level of competence is achieved at some time after a negative determination, the effective date is the first day of the first pay period after the acceptable determination has been made.

[46 FR 2319, Jan. 9, 1981, as amended at 46 FR 41020, Aug. 14, 1981; 59 FR 24029, May 10, 1994]

§531.413 Reports and evaluation of within-grade increase authority.

- (a) Reports. The Office of Personnel Management may require agencies to maintain records and report on the use of the authority to grant or withhold within-grade increases.
- (b) Evaluation. The Office of Personnel Management may evaluate an agency's use of the authority to grant or withhold within-grade increases. An agency shall take any corrective action required by the Office.

§531.414 Interim within-grade increase.

(a) An interim within-grade increase shall be granted to an employee who has:

§531.501

- (1) Appealed a negative within-grade increase determination to the Merit Systems Protection Board under 5 U.S.C 5335(c); and
- (2) Been granted a favorable withingrade increase determination under the interim relief provisions of 5 U.S.C. 7701(b)(2).
- (b) An interim within-grade increase granted under paragraph (a) of this section shall become effective on the date of the appellate decision ordering interim relief under 5 U.S.C. 7701(b)(2)(A).
- (c) If the final decision of the Merit Systems Protection Board upholds the negative within-grade increase determination, an interim within-grade increase granted under this section shall be terminated on the date of the Board's final decision.
- (d) If the final decision of the Merit Systems Protection Board overturns the negative within-grade increase determination, an interim within-grade increase granted under this section shall be made permanent and shall be granted retroactively to the first day of the first pay period beginning on or after completion of the applicable waiting period.
- (e) An employee may not appeal the termination of an interim within-grade increase under paragraph (c) of this section.

[57 FR 3712, Jan. 31, 1992, as amended at 59 FR 24030, May 10, 1994; 59 FR 65703, Dec. 21, 1994]

Subpart E—Quality Step Increases

Source: 33 FR 12448, Sept. 4, 1968, unless otherwise noted.

§531.501 Applicability.

This subpart contains regulations of the Office of Personnel Management to carry out section 5336 of title 5, United States Code, which authorizes the head of an agency, or another official to whom such authority is delegated, to grant quality step increases.

[60 FR 43948, Aug. 23, 1995]

§531.502 Definitions.

Agency means an agency defined in section 5102 of title 5, United States Code.

Employee means an employee of an agency.

Quality step increase is synonymous with the term "step increase" used in section 5336 of title 5, United States Code, and means an increase in an employee's rate of basic pay from one step or rate of the grade of his or her position to the next higher step of that grade or next higher rate within the grade (as defined in §531.403) in accordance with section 5336 of title 5, United States Code, section 4 of the Performance Management and Recognition System Termination Act of 1993 (Pub. L. 103-89), and this subpart.

[46 FR 2322, Jan. 9, 1981, as amended at 46 FR 41020, Aug. 14, 1981; 58 FR 65537, Dec. 15, 1993; 59 FR 40794, Aug. 10, 1994]

§531.503 Purpose of quality step increases.

The purpose of quality step increases is to provide appropriate incentives and recognition for excellence in performance by granting faster than normal step increases.

[60 FR 43948, Aug. 23, 1995]

§531.504 Level of performance required for quality step increase.

A quality step increase shall not be required but may be granted only to—

- (a) An employee who receives a rating of record at Level 5 ("Outstanding" or equivalent), as defined in part 430, subpart B, of this chapter; or
- (b) An employee who, when covered by a performance appraisal program that does not use a Level 5 summary—
- (1) Receives a rating of record at the highest summary level used by the program; and
- (2) Demonstrates sustained performance of high quality significantly above that expected at the "Fully Successful" level in the type of position concerned, as determined under performance-related criteria established by the agency.

[60 FR 43948, Aug. 23, 1995]

§ 531.505 Restrictions on granting quality step increases.

As provided by 5 U.S.C. 5336, a quality step increase may not be granted to an employee who has received a quality